

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Ratent and Trademark Office Address: GOMAIISSIONER FOR PATENTS F.O. Bo. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION N	iO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/624,207	10/624,207 07/22/2003		Ronald Wrenholt	20030242.ORI	7047	
23595	7590	04/03/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ERSEREAU, P.A.	DEBROW	DEBROW, JAMES J		
900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH SUITE 820				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEA	MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			2176		
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/624,207	WRENHOLT ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	James J. Debrow	2176						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ja	nuary 2006.							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.	·							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers								
9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P	ate atent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	,, , , , ,						

Art Unit: 2176

## **DETAILED ACTION**

1. This action is responsive to communications: Application filed on 19 Jan. 2006.

2. Claims 1-10 are pending in this case. Claim 1 is an independent claim.

Art Unit: 2176

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,783; Date of Patent: Nov 23, 1999), in view of Nagaska (Patent. No.: US 6,505,252 B1; Filing Date: Dec. 14, 1998).

With respect to independent claim 1, Popa et al. discloses a computer system (first computer) and method for generating, storing, and transmitting graphical images. Usually, the images are computer-generated, scanned from a photo, or scanned using an electronic camera, and stored as a graphics file in data storage. Popa et al. uses a module called a Graphics Manipulator for communicating with the graphical image database when modifications are required. These modifications, which are executed from instructions that are provided from user input devices such as a keyboard and mouse, can include cropping an image, rotating all or parts of an image, duplicating all or parts of an image, sharpening an image, blurring an image, adjusting the image resolution, color correcting, and adding text/data to an image (16 in Fig 1; column 5, lines 4-10). The Graphics Manipulator contains a master data file generator

Application/Control Number: 10/624,207

Art Unit: 2176

(34 in Fig 1) for generating and storing in the data storage (12 in Fig 1), a master data file that contains the modified graphical data/images (110 in Fig 5, column 5, lines 14-16). The master data file contains a *low-resolution version of the image* 

16). The master data file contains a low-resolution version of the image (thumbnail/preview image) (50 in Fig 2a), along with a high-resolution version of the image. The high-resolution version of the image enables the user to see the image on the computer screen for positioning, grouping, and/or modifications. The graphical data/image file can be stored in either RAM, hard disk, floppy disk, or compact. Popa et al. computer system (first computer) also contains a Layout Page Creator Module (18 in Fig 1), which is capable of combining text and graphical images stored in data files in accordance with instructions inputted the user to generate a layout page (column 5, lines 29-32; column 8, lines 49-52). When laying out the various elements of the layout page, graphical elements are displayed by the computer on screen utilizing the thumbnail data (low-resolution version/preview image) of the graphical element. Once the user has completed laying out the various elements of the layout page, the Layout Page Creator generates and stores a layout page data file (panel) containing the various formatting instructions (column 8; lines 55-67). The modified layout page file is sent to a Spooler Module (20 in Fig 1) before it is transmitted to the printer for printing. The Spooler receives just the thumbnail/preview files (low-resolution version of the image), which increase the speed of transmission of the file to the printer and frees up the computer for further work.

Popa et al. does not disclose expressly using one of a plurality of second computer at location remote from said first computer system to access said database.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,207

Art Unit: 2176

However, Nagaska discloses a data transfer system that allows a computer systems to transmit selective data to, and receive selective data from a second computer system (column 1, lines 52). Nagaska further disclose the invention is not restricted to the Internet, but may be connected to a LAN, WAN, or any other network (column 12, lines 1-4). It has been establish that the Internet, LAN, and WAN typically consist of a plurality of computer system. At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the current invention to a network consisting of a plurality of computer systems.

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Nagaska and Popa et al. for the benefit of customers at different locations to remotely access images that are stored on the publisher's computer for positioning and modifying the images of their publication.

With respect to dependent claim 2, Popa et al. discloses a layout page creator that is capable of combining text and various graphical images (column 5, lines 29-30). It would have been inherent that the same data used to create descriptions for the photographs, could also be used to create captions.

With respect to dependent claims 3-6, as indicated in the above rejection,

Popa et al. in view of Nagasaka discloses every limitation of Claim 1.

Popa et al. in view of Nagasaka does not disclose expressly a yearbook, directory, calendar, and special event publication.

However, these differences are only nonfunctional descriptive material. That is, these differences do no affect the functionality of the present invention. The invention, as recited in Claim 1, will operate exactly the same regardless of whether the "publication" is a yearbook, directory, calendar, or special event publication. Thus, the descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see *In re Gulack*, 703 F.2<sup>nd</sup> 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401,404 (Fed. Cir 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).

Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to assemble the collections of images in a yearbook, directory, calendar, and special event publication.

With respect to dependent claim 7, Popa et al. discloses one aspect of his invention as a computer readable storage medium where a file of images are stored in one format, and another file of the images are stored in second format (column 2, lines 45-51; column 3, lines 20-26). The first file includes a pointer that points to the second file, wherein both files behave as a single file. Using the broadest definition of a relational database, in which one database table/file is a component of another database table/file, the examiner determined that the database used by Popa et al. to store image and data files, is a relational database.

Art Unit: 2176

With respect to dependent claim 8, Popa et al. discloses the said images are photographs (column 6, lines 26).

Art Unit: 2176

5. Claims 9 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Popa et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,991,783; Date of Patent: Nov 23, 1999), in view of Nagaska (Patent. No.: US 6,505,252 B1; Filing Date: Dec. 14, 1998), and further in view of PrePRESS (PrePRESS Technology Reports, "Open Prepress Interface(OPI)")('Prepress').

With respect to dependent claims 9 and 10, Popa et al. discloses, a user is allowed to perform necessary positioning and cropping adjustments to the thumbnail/preview (low-resolution image) images displayed on the computer screen (column 8, lines 60-64).

Popa et al. does not disclose expressly cropping values and scaling values indicated on the low-resolution images are applied to the high-resolution images as part of the step of changing the low-resolution images to high-resolution images.

However, Prepress discloses, the appearance of the high-resolution image can be changed by manipulating the preview image (the low-resolution image). For instance, the preview image can be cropped, scaled, or rotated, and the high-resolution image will reflect those manipulations when imaged (Prepress pg. 11, 3<sup>rd</sup> paragraph). At the timer of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Prepress with Popa et al. for the benefit of having the cropping and scaling modifications that the user apply to the preview image (low-resolution) from the second computer to automatically be applied to the high-resolution image that is stored on the first computer.

Art Unit: 2176

## Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 1/19/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's arguments with respect to independent claim 1 along with their respective dependent claims, have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. An explanation of the rejection is given.

Art Unit: 2176

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James J. Debrow whose telephone number is 571-272-5768. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Herndon can be reached on 571-272-4136. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JAMES DEBROW EXAMINER ART UNIT 2176

> DOUG HUTTON PRIMARY EXAMINER TECH CENTER 2100